IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LAMBETH MAGNETIC STRUCTURES,	
LLC,	
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. 16-538
V.)
) Judge Cathy Bissoon
SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US))
HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

VERDICT SLIP

QUESTION 1: INFRINGEMENT

Did LMS prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Seagate infringes the following claims of the '988 Patent?

	YES (for LMS)	NO (for Seagate)
Claim 1		No
Claim 3		No.
Claim 6		No
Claim 7		No
Claim 9		No
Claim 17		No
Claim 19		No
Claim 27		'No
Claim 28		NO
Claim 29		NO

QUESTION 2: INVALIDITY

Did Seagate prove by clear and convincing evidence that the following claims of the '988 Patent are invalid?

	YES (for Seagate)	NO (for LMS)
Claim 1		No
Claim 3		No
Claim 6		No
Claim 7		No
Claim 9		No
Claim 17		No
Claim 19		No
Claim 27		No
Claim 28		No
Claim 29		No

Answer Question 3 below only if both of the following are true:

- You answered "yes" to Question 1 for at least one claim.
- For that same claim, you answered "no" to Question 2.

QUESTION 3: DAMAGES

What amount has LMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Seagate's infringement of the '988 patent?

|--|

Your deliberations are now complete. Sign this verdict form and notify the clerk that your deliberations are complete.

Dated: 04/14/2022

Foreperson

Remaining Jurors

3